

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/981,977 | Applicant(s) DISPENSA ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner SARGON N. NANO | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 2457</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table> | Art Unit 2457 | |
| Art Unit 2457 | | | | |

All Participants:

(1) SARGON N. NANO.

(2) kyle J. Way (Reg. No. 45,549).

Date of Interview: 14 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In a telephone communication , examiner suggested to amend claim 21 to include the limitation " stored on a computer hardware" to overcome any potential 101 issues.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Asad M Nawaz/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)